

1
2
3
4 SCOTT JOHNSON,
5 Plaintiff,
6 v.
7 KAI MAN LEE, et al.,
8 Defendants.

9 Case No. 21-cv-08994-SVK
10
11

**ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO
COMPLETE SERVICE**

Re: Dkt. No. 10

12 Plaintiff's Administrative Motion for relief from the service deadline (Dkt. 10) is DENIED
13 in part and GRANTED in part. Plaintiff states, both in the motion and in the declaration of
14 counsel Tehniat Zaman, signed under penalty of perjury, that he has new information and
15 "anticipates that service upon Defendant can be completed shortly." Dkt. 10; Dkt. 10-
16 1. Inexplicably, Plaintiff then asks for an additional 90 days, the entire initial time to serve a
17 complaint in the Northern District of California. The request for an additional 90 days is
18 DENIED. The Court GRANTS an extension of 30 days from the date of this order, until
19 February 25, 2022, to complete service on Defendant. The Court also GRANTS a 30-day
20 extension of the deadline to complete the site inspection. NO FURTHER EXTENSIONS WILL
21 BE GRANTED WITHOUT AN EXPLICIT SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE SUPPORTED BY
22 FACTS SPECIFIC TO THIS CASE. PLAINTIFF'S USUAL BOILERPLATE, NON-SPECIFIC
23 COMPLAINTS REGARDING COVID AND THE LIKE SHALL NOT BE SUFFICIENT.

24 **SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: January 26, 2022

26
27 
28 SUSAN VAN KEULEN
United States Magistrate Judge